

Proposed risk tiers

Source: <https://www.csbs.org/node/584231>

Source checked: October 11, 2026

Method: Assess consumer impact, human oversight, harm potential and data sensitivity. The highest-rated known factor generally sets the preliminary tier. Unknown factors keep the assignment provisional.

Boundary: Tiers follow the optional CSBS worksheet. Your institution assigns the final tier.

Factor assessments

Use id: use-staff

Consumer impact: Tier 2: drafts may affect operational instructions

Human oversight: Unknown: consistent qualified review not evidenced

Harm potential: Tier 2: a wrong instruction could cause operational harm

Data sensitivity: Unknown: historical inputs not verified

Preliminary tier: Tier 2 provisional; unknown factors may raise the tier

Use id: use-vendor

Consumer impact: Unknown: output use not accepted

Human oversight: Unknown: checkpoints not evidenced

Harm potential: Unknown: operating dependence not established

Data sensitivity: Potential Tier 3: free-text service notes may contain sensitive information

Preliminary tier: Tier 3 provisional pending data and control review

Version: CSBS worksheet preparation: example edition 2026-10-17

Use id: use-staff

Proposed tier: Tier 2 provisional; unknown factors may raise the tier

Reasons

Drafts may become operational instructions.

Inputs and controlling versions were initially unclear.

Source ids

src-staff

src-procedure-earlier

src-procedure-later

src-procedure-confirmation

Uncertainty: Historical staff inputs and permitted-input enforcement remain unverified.

Possible treatment: Consider a Tier 1 only after permitted generic inputs, controlled versions and human review are evidenced.

Reviewer role: Institution risk reviewer

Reviewer status: Pending institution review

Institution accepted tier: Left blank

Use id: use-vendor

Proposed tier: Tier 3 provisional pending data and control review

Reasons

The revised disclosure expands stated reach to free-text service notes.

Default activation, retention and effective shutdown require evidence.

Service notes may contain sensitive information; actual contents and safeguards remain unknown.

Source ids

src-vendor-disclosure

src-change

Uncertainty: No authorized setting inspection or shutdown test has been returned.

Possible treatment: Keep activation deferred; obtain handling answers and authorized control evidence.

Reviewer role: Institution risk reviewer with IT

Reviewer status: Pending institution review

Institution accepted tier: Left blank

Reviewer selection and institution tier acceptance remain blank. Unknown factors keep these proposals provisional.